	Case 2:11-cv-01458-ODW-MAN Document 1 Filed 02/17/11 Page 1 of 17 Page #:5	ID						
(FILED	CORPORT						
1	ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.							
2	United States Attorney SANDRA B. BROWN ILEER 17 AM 11: 50							
	Assistant United States Attorney							
3	Chief, Tax Division CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT THOMAS D. COKER [SBN 136820] CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES							
4	Assistant onitied states Accorney							
5	Room 7211 Federal Building BY:							
6	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-2454	: 						
	Facsimile: (213) 894-0115	ı						
7	Attorneys for United States of America							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9								
10	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
	WESTERN DIVISION							
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CV.11-11 01458							
12)							
13	Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT) INJUNCTION AGAINST THE							
14	v.) PREPARATION OF TAX RETURNS AND							
15) OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF)							
	MARTHA A. VELARDE, dba MAV) SERVICE,)							
16)							
17	Defendant.)							
8)							
ا وا	,							
20	The United States of America, by and through its counsel of							
21	record, alleges as follows:							
22								
23	NATURE OF ACTION							
	1. This is a civil action brought pursuant to Title 26							
24	U.S.C. Sections 7401, 7402(a), 7407, and Title 28 U.S.C. Sections							
25	1340 and 1345.							
26								
7								
8	2. This action is to enjoin defendant Martha A. Velarde							

(MANX)

- b. Preparing or filing (or assisting in the preparation or filing of) federal income tax returns (including, but not limited to, forms and documents related to federal income tax returns) for any person other than herself;
- c. Preparing or filing (or assisting in the preparation or filing of) any document in connection with any material matter governed by the internal revenue laws of the United States (including, but not limited to, Title 26 of the United States Code) for any person other than herself;
- d. Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694, 6695, 6700, or 6701; and
- e. Engaging in other similar conduct that substantially interferes with the administration or enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

JURISDICTION

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Title 26 U.S.C. Sections 7402(a) and 7407, and Title 28 U.S.C.

Sections 1340 and 1345.

- 4. This action is brought at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States and at the request and with the authorization of the Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service, a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7401.
- 5. Venue for the complaint is within the Central District of California under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) because Velarde resided in this district when she prepared and/or filed the federal income tax returns that gave rise to this action and because a substantial part of the actions giving rise to this suit took place in this district.

DEFENDANT

- 6. Defendant resides in Los Angeles, California.
- 7. Defendant is a federal income tax return preparer and has been working in this capacity since at least 1998.
- 8. Defendant conducts her tax preparation business as "MAV Service" located in Los Angeles, California.

DEFENDANT'S ACTIVITIES

- 9. Defendant operates her tax preparation business from her residence as a sole proprietorship.
- 10. Defendant currently offers tax return preparation services to individuals through her dba "MAV Services." Defendant currently is preparing income tax returns as a paid preparer.

- 11. Defendant charges fees ranging from \$25 to \$60 for the preparation of a Form 1040 federal tax return.
- 12. Since at least taxable year 2006, defendant prepared federal income tax returns containing false information to improperly reduce her customers' reported tax liabilities or to claim the Earned Income Credit (EIC), resulting in a refund to which her customers were not entitled.
- 13. Although required by law to do so, defendant failed, in most cases, to conduct a due diligence check to ascertain whether her customers qualified for the EIC and, if so, in what amount.
- 14. Defendant has continually or repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. Section 6694 in that she has, among other things, willfully or recklessly understated the tax due (and, in nearly every case, overstated the refund due) on customers' tax returns.
- 15. Defendant has continually or repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. Section 6695(g) in that she has failed to exercise due diligence in determining a taxpayer's eligibility for the EIC, which includes a duty to make reasonable inquires if information provided by the taxpayer appears incorrect, incomplete or inconsistent, as well as a duty to document her customer files as to these inquiries.
- 16. Further, defendant has continually or repeatedly engaged in fraudulent and deceptive conduct which has substantially interfered with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws in that defendant has, among other things,

12 l

- 18. In 2007, the IRS conducted a due diligence audit with respect to tax returns prepared by the defendant during the 2006 filing season (2005 tax returns), including returns that claimed the EIC. Out of 100 income tax returns sampled, 94 returns were in violation of 26 U.S.C. Section 6695(g) based on defendant's failure to determine taxpayers' eligibility for the EIC.
- 19. As a result of the defendant's violation of Section 6695(g), as described in paragraph 18, the defendant was assessed penalties in the amount of \$9,400 (\$100 per return), pursuant to 26 U.S.C. Section 6695(g).
- above, to determine whether the defendant was complying with the requirements under Section 6695(g), the IRS issued an administrative summons to the defendant to sample tax returns which she prepared for the 2010 (2009 tax returns) filing season. The IRS determined that the defendant was again in violation of 26 U.S.C. Section 6695(g). Further, the sampled returns contained other inconsistencies such as reporting Schedule C business which claimed no business expenses and which were supported by false or incomplete Form 1099s reporting income amounts solely to optimize

21. Defendant is aware that her conduct is improper and illegal; however, it is believed that defendant has continued to prepare improper tax returns and will continue to do so. Civil penalties have not been sufficient to deter the defendant from her improper and illegal conduct.

INJURY TO THE UNITED STATES

- 22. The defendant continues to prepare a high percentage of EIC and refund tax returns. Moreover, approximately 358 of the returns filed during the 2010 filing season (2009 tax returns) claimed EIC and contained a Schedule C.
- 23. During the past four filing seasons, the defendant prepared the following returns:

Process- ing Year	Number of Returns	Number of Refund Returns	Number of EIC Returns	% of Refund Returns	% of EIC Returns	
2007	2,873	2,599	876	90%	30%	
2008	2,654	2,469	902	93%	33%	
2009			860	93%	33%	
2010			852	93%	36%	

- 24. Time-intensive audits by IRS revenue agents, including interviews with the taxpayer-customers, are usually necessary to ferret out the bogus claims prepared by the defendant.
- 25. In addition to the one hundred (100) EIC returns referred to in paragraph 18 above, as of September 22, 2010, the IRS has examined fifty-nine (59) returns prepared by defendant which resulted in a determination of income deficiencies of over

1

- 4 5
- 6
- 7 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17 l
- 18 |
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- \$248,642, averaging \$4,214 per return, resulting from the defendant's conduct.
- Over thirty percent (30%) of all of the returns 26. prepared by the defendant make claims for the EIC. Adjustments were made to eighty-five percent (85%) of the files audited by the IRS and the average amount of the assessment against those taxpayers (defendant's customers) at the conclusion of the audit was \$4,214.
- 27. For the taxable year 2010 alone, defendant prepared over 2000 tax returns.
- The government has identified more than 8,000 returns 28. prepared by the defendant for the 2007-2010 tax filing seasons (i.e., returns for years 2006-2009 tax years). Based on an analysis by the IRS, refunds claimed on these returns averaged over ninety percent (90%).
- For the tax returns prepared by the defendant during the 2007-2010 tax filing seasons, based on the total EIC returns resulting from the defendant's conduct, the IRS estimates the aggregate potential tax loss at approximately \$12.5 million.

INJURY TO THE DEFENDANT'S CUSTOMERS

As a result of the defendant's improper actions, many of her customers have been required to file amended returns or undergo audits by the IRS. They have incurred severe, and in most cases unanticipated, financial burdens due to their liability for additional tax beyond the amount reported on their original returns, plus statutory interest.

As a result of the defendant's improper actions, many

1 of her customers will be required to file amended returns or 2 undergo audits by the IRS. They will incur severe financial, and 3 in most cases unanticipated, financial burdens due to their 4 liability for additional tax beyond the amount reported on their 5 original returns, plus statutory interest (and perhaps civil 6 7 penalties). 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COUNT I

(Engaging in Conduct Prohibited by Sections 6694 and 6695)

- 32. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 31 of the Complaint.
- The defendant, by reason of her preparation, or assistance in the preparation, of federal income tax returns for which she was compensated, is an income tax return preparer within the meaning of 26 U.S.C. Section 7701(a)(36).
- The defendant has continually or repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. Section 6694(b) by either (a) recklessly or intentionally disregarding rules and regulations in preparing the return of another person, resulting in an understatement of federal tax liability for that person; or (b) willfully or recklessly understating the federal tax liability of another person in preparing the return of that person; or both.
- The defendant has continually or repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. Section 6695(g) in that she has failed to comply with due diligence requirements

36. Unless enjoined by the Court, the defendant will continue to engage in the above-described conduct.

37. The defendant must be enjoined from further acting as an income tax return preparer because an injunction prohibiting her from engaging in conduct subject to penalty under Sections 6694 and 6695 of Title 26, United States Code (the "Code"), would not be sufficient to prevent her further interference with the proper administration of the tax laws.

COUNT II

(Unlawful Interference with the Internal Revenue Laws)

- 38. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of the Complaint.
- 39. The defendant's fraudulent and deceptive conduct as set forth above has the effect of substantially interfering with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws by causing the filing of improper and illegal tax returns or claims for refunds, as well as the filing of tax returns containing improper and illegal claims for tax credits, all of which contributes to undermining the respect for, and deterring voluntary compliance with, the federal tax laws.
- 40. Unless enjoined by this Court, the defendant will continue to engage in this conduct.

APPROPRIATENESS OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

41. Injunctive relief is appropriate under Sections 7407 and 7402 of the Code for the following reasons:

- a. <u>Proscribed Conduct</u>. The defendant, in the course of preparing income tax returns on behalf of her customers, has continually or repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under Sections 6694 and 6695 of the Code and which otherwise interferes with the proper administration of the Internal Revenue laws.
- b. <u>Likelihood of Recurrence</u>. If the defendant is not enjoined from preparing federal income tax returns, it is likely that she will continue to do so, since she has engaged in a pattern and practice of abuse extending over a number of years.
- c. <u>Irreparable Injury</u>. The defendant, by her continual or repeated violations of the internal revenue laws, has caused a substantial revenue loss to the United States Treasury as well as a severe drain of government administrative resources in identifying and examining the returns the defendant prepared and in attempting to collect the monies owed. The IRS lacks sufficient resources to examine all of the returns the defendant has prepared to date and is continuing to prepare. In addition, the resulting and potential litigation relating to the tax returns prepared by the defendant will place a heavy burden on the judicial system.

d. <u>Public interest</u>. Members of the public whom the defendant aided, advised, or assisted have been harmed because such persons paid the defendant for her services in preparing tax returns, and if their returns are examined by the IRS, they will likely be assessed with deficiencies in tax, be required to pay statutory interest on the tax deficiencies resulting from the defendant's improper preparation, and may also be subject to civil penalties resulting from the deficiencies. Moreover, the defendant's behavior encourages a reckless disregard for the internal revenue laws and erodes public confidence in the fairness of the federal income tax system, thus causing irreparable injury to the government and the nation as a whole.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, the United States of America, prays for the following:

- 1. That the Court find that the defendant Martha A. Velarde has continually or repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under Sections 6694 and 6695; that an injunction prohibiting such conduct would not be sufficient to prevent the defendant's interference with the proper administration of Title 26; and that the defendant therefore should be permanently enjoined from acting as an income tax return preparer pursuant to Sections 7407 and 7402 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 2. That the Court find that the defendant has continually or repeatedly engaged in fraudulent or deceptive conduct that

- substantially interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws by the IRS; that an injunction prohibiting such conduct would not be sufficient to prevent the defendant's interference with the proper administration of Title 26; and that the defendant therefore should be permanently enjoined from acting as income tax return preparer pursuant to Sections 7407 and 7402 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 3. That the Court enter a Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction enjoining the defendant, and all other persons in active concert or participation with her, directly or indirectly, by use of any means or instrumentality, from:
 - a. Acting as income tax return preparers within the meaning of Section 7701(a)(36) of the Code;
 - b. Taking any action in furtherance of aiding, assisting, advising, preparing or filing for compensation tax returns of third-party taxpayers;
 - c. Further engaging in conduct subject to penalty under Sections 6694 and 6695 of the Code; or
 - d. Substantially interfering with and/or impeding the proper administration of the internal revenue laws.
- 4. That this Court further order and decree, as part of its permanent injunctive relief, that the defendant notify, in writing, all persons whose tax returns she has prepared from January 1, 2007 to the date of the Court's order, of the findings and relief ordered by the Court, including in such notice to each

- 5. That this Court retain jurisdiction of this action for the purpose of implementing and enforcing the final judgment and all additional decrees and orders necessary and appropriate to the public interest.
- 6. That this Court award plaintiff all its costs in prosecution of this action.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney SANDRA B. BROWN

Assistant United States Attorney

Chief, Tax Division

Dated: February 15, 2011

THOMAS D. COKER

Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATE

*			CIVID COVE	K SHEET					3
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □)				DEFENDANTS				T (
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				MARTHA A. VELARDE, DBA MAV SERVICE				Land James	
(b) County of Residence of Fin	rst Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S.	'Cases):	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. Plaintiff Cases Only): LOS ANGELES						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A yourself, provide same.) United States Attorney THOMAS COKER 300 N. Los Angeles S Tel: (213) 894-2410 F	representing	Attorneys (If Known)				The second of th			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place an X in one box only.)		III. CITIZENS (Place an X	HIP OF PRINCIPAL F in one box for plaintiff a	PARTIE and one f	S - For Diversity Case for defendant.)	es Only		
☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PTF DEF Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Pl of Business in this State				PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Princip of Business in Another S				□ 5	□ 5	
		(Citizen or Subjec	t of a Foreign Country	□3 □	3 Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or State Court 5 Transferred from another district (specify): 6 Multi-District Judge from Magistrate Judge 7 Appeal to District Litigation 1 Judge from Magistrate Judge 1 Judge from Magistrate Judge from Magistrate Judge 1 Judge from Magistrate Judge f									
=		ics mag.							
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C				ONEY DEMANDED I					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) PURSUANT TO TITLE 26 U.S.C. SECTIONS 7401, 7402(A), 7407 AND TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 1340 AND 1345									
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Pla	ce an X in one box only.)								
/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 310 □ 315 □ 320 □ 330 □ 345 □ 350 □ 355 □ 360 □ 362 □ 365 □ 368	Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability	PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lence 380 Other Persona Property Dam Product Liabi BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 US 158 423 Withdrawal 2 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accord mmodations 444 Welfare 445 American wit Disabilities - Employment 446 American wit Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	ling lal lange lan	PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus/ Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition FORFETTURE / PENALTY 510 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Drug 525 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws 540 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs 560 Occupational Safety /Health 590 Other	LAB	Agmt. Agmt. Agmt. Agmt. Agmt. Agmt. Agmt. Act Alabor Act Act Act Act Act Act Bet. Inc. Act Act SCURI. Bets Bets	Act TTS TY 23) I UITS
VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed and dismissed, remanded or closed? ✓ No ☐ Yes									
If yes, list case number(s):									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:				IJ	,			

UNITED STATI ISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT (JALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES:	Have any cases been p	previously filed that are related to the present case? 🗹 No 🖂 Yes						
If yes, list case number(s):								
(Check all boxes that apply) [Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.							
IX. VENUE: List the California Check here if the U.S. govern	a County, or State if oth	ner than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary) mployees is a named plaintiff.						
LOS ANGELES COUNT								
List the California County, or St County Check here if the U.S. gover LOS ANGELES COUNT	nment, its agencies or e	rnia, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary). employees is a named defendant.						
List the California County, or Note: In land condemnation case LOS ANGELES COUNT	es, use the location of th	fornia, in which EACH claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if necessary) ne tract of land involved.						
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORI	NEY (OR PRO PER):	1 Lown Calle Date 2/16/11						
or other papers as required l	by law. This form, appr	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings roved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not urpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions						
Key to Statistical codes relating t	o Social Security Cases	X:						
Nature of Suit Co	de Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action						
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))						
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)						
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.						
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))						

United States Attorney Office THOMAS COKER, AUSA 300 N. Los Angeles Street Room 7211 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Tel: (213) 894-2410 Fax (213) 894-0115 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NUMBER UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PLAINTIFF(S) ٧. MARTHA A. VELARDE, DBA MAV SERVICE **SUMMONS** DEFENDANT(S). DEFENDANT(S): THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT(S) TO: A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \(\mathbb{I} \) complaint \(\mathbb{I} \) ______ amended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, THOMAS COKER , whose address is 300 N. LOS ANGELES STREET RM 7211 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 _____. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. Clerk, U.S. District Court CHRISTOPHER BOY Dated: 02/17/11 Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court) BOOTHAND [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)]. CV-01A (12/07) SUMMONS

Document 1

Filed 02/17/11

Page 16 of 17 Page ID

Case 2:11-cv-01458-ODW-MAN

#:21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Manuel Real and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Margaret A. Nagle.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 1458 R (MANx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

Unless otherwise ordered, the United States District Judge assigned to this case will hear and determine all discovery related motions.

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012

[] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

[] Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.